arXiv:1601.03513v2 [math.RT] 20 Jan 2016

THE ADJOINTS OF THE SCHUR FUNCTOR

REBECCA REISCHUK

Abstract. We show that the left and right adjoint of the Schur functor can be expressed in terms of the monoidal structure of strict polynomial functors. Using this result we give a necessary and sufficient condition for when the tensor product of two simple strict polynomial functors is again simple.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Strict polynomial functors 2
3. Representations of the symmetric group and the Schur functor 4
4. The left adjoint of the Schur functor 5
5. The right adjoint of the Schur functor 7
6. Comparing both adjoints 10
7. The tensor product of simple functors 10
References 13

1. Introduction

In his dissertation, Issai Schur defines an algebra, nowadays known as the Schur algebra, whose module category is equivalent to polynomial representations of the general linear group. He then uses a functor, now called the Schur functor, to relate representations of the general linear group and representations of the symmetric group.

For suitable choices of parameters, another category, namely the category of strict polynomial functors, is equivalent to the category of modules over the Schur algebra. This category, first defined by Friedlander and Suslin in [4], inherits a tensor product from the category of divided powers. A tensor product for the category of representations of the symmetric group is given by its Hopf algebra structure. It has been shown recently that the Schur functor preserves this monoidal structure ([1]).

The Schur functor has fully faithful left and right adjoints. These adjoints have been studied in order to relate the cohomolgy of general linear and symmetric groups (cf. [3]) and to relate (dual) Specht filtrations of symmetric group modules to Weyl filtrations of modules over the general linear group (cf. [5]).

We show that the left resp. right adjoint of the Schur functor can be expressed in terms of the internal tensor product resp. internal hom of strict polynomial functors (Theorem 4.3 resp. Theorem 5.4). We make use of these expressions to relate the two adjoints. In addition, we will see that the adjoints induce equivalences of categories when restricting to the subcategories of injective resp. projective strict polynomial functors.

In the last section we consider the tensor product of two simple strict polynomial functors. Touzé showed in [10] that in almost all cases such a tensor product is

not simple. We use the left adjoint of the Schur functor to calculate the remaining cases. As a consequence we get a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of Ext-vanishing between certain simple functors for when the tensor product of two simple strict polynomial functors is simple (Theorem 7.4). In the case $n = d = p$ a full characterization is given (Theorem 7.7).

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Karin Erdmann for valuable comments and discussions about representations of the symmetric group during a research visit in Oxford. In particular the results in the last section were completed with her assistance. I am very grateful to Greg Stevenson for many fruitful discussions and his continuous advice on (monoidal) categories. I am thankful to Nicholas Kuhn for comments on an earlier version of this paper.

2. Strict polynomial functors

In the following we briefly recall the definitions of strict polynomial functors and of the internal tensor product as described in [6, Section 2]. Let k be a commutative ring and denote by P_k the category of finitely generated projective k-modules. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $\mathfrak{S}_d$ the symmetric group permuting d elements and for $V \in \mathsf{P}_k$ let $\mathfrak{S}_d$ act on the right on $V^{\otimes d}$ by permuting the factors.

Divided, symmetric and exterior powers. The submodule $\Gamma^d V$ consisting of the $\mathfrak{S}_d$-invariant part of $V^{\otimes d}$ is called the module of *divided powers*. The coinvariant part is the module of *symmetric powers*, denoted by $S^d V$. The quotient of $V^{\otimes d}$ by the ideal generated by $v \otimes v$ are the *exterior powers* $\Lambda^d V$. Since the k-modules $\Gamma^d V$, $S^d V$ and $\Lambda^d V$ are free provided V is free, sending a module V to $\Gamma^d V$, $S^d V$ resp. $\Lambda^d V$ yields functors $\Gamma^d, S^d, \Lambda^d \colon \mathsf{P}_k \to \mathsf{P}_k$.

The category of degree d divided powers. We define the category $\Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k$ to be the category with the same objects as P_k and where the morphisms between two objects V and W are given by

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k}(V, W) := \Gamma^d \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) = (\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)^{\otimes d})^{\mathfrak{S}_d}.$$

The category of strict polynomial functors. Finally we define $\mathsf{Rep}\, \Gamma^d_k$ to be the category of k-linear representations of $\Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k$, i.e.

$$\mathsf{Rep}\, \Gamma^d_k = \operatorname{Fun}_k(\Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k, \mathsf{M}_k),$$

where M_k denotes the category of all k-modules. The morphisms between two strict polynomial functors X, Y are denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(X, Y)$.

The full subcategory of *finite representations*, i.e. $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\, \Gamma^d_k$ such that $X(V) \in \mathsf{P}_k$ for all $V \in \Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k$, is denoted by $\mathsf{rep}\, \Gamma^d_k$.

The strict polynomial functor *represented by* $V \in \Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k$ is given by

$$\Gamma^{d,V} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k}(V, -).$$

For $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\, \Gamma^d_k$, the Yoneda isomorphism yields

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X) \cong X(V). \tag{2.1}$$

We have $\Gamma^{d,k} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^d \mathsf{P}_k}(k, -) = \Gamma^d \operatorname{Hom}(k, -) \cong \Gamma^d(-)$ and thus $\Gamma^d \in \mathsf{Rep}\, \Gamma^d_k$. It is not hard to see that also $S^d, \Lambda^d \in \mathsf{Rep}\, \Gamma^d_k$.

External tensor product. For non-negative integers d, e and $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$ and $Y \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^e$ we can form the external tensor product

$$X \boxtimes Y \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^{d+e}.$$

It is given on objects by $(X \boxtimes Y)(V) = X(V) \otimes Y(V)$ and on morphisms via the map

$$\Gamma^{d+e}\operatorname{Hom}(V,W) \to \Gamma^d \operatorname{Hom}(V,W) \otimes \Gamma^e \operatorname{Hom}(V,W).$$

In particular, for positive integers n, d and a composition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ of d in n parts, i.e. an n-tuple of non negative integers such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = d$, we can form representable functors $\Gamma^{\lambda_1,k} \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \Gamma^{\lambda_n,k} \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^{\lambda_n}$ and take their tensor product to obtain a functor in $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$

$$\Gamma^\lambda := \Gamma^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Gamma^{\lambda_n}.$$

In the same way define

$$S^\lambda := S^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes S^{\lambda_n}$$
$$\Lambda^\lambda := \Lambda^{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Lambda^{\lambda_n}.$$

Representations of Schur algebras. For n, d positive integers, the Schur algebra can be defined as

$$S_k(n,d) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathfrak{S}_d}((k^n)^{\otimes d}) = \operatorname{End}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,k^n})^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

If $n \geq d$ there is an equivalence of categories ([4, Theorem 3.2] and [6, Theorem 2.10])

$$\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathsf{Mod}\operatorname{End}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,k^n}) \cong S_k(n,d)\,\mathsf{Mod}, \tag{2.2}$$

given by evaluating at k^n, i.e. a strict polynomial functor X is mapped to $X(k^n)$.

The internal tensor product of strict polynomial functors. For V, W in P_k denote by $V \otimes_k W$ the usual tensor product of k-modules. This induces a tensor product on $\Gamma^d\mathsf{P}_k$, the category of divided powers. It coincides on objects with the one for P_k and on morphisms it is given via the following composite:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^d \operatorname{Hom}(V,V') \times \Gamma^d \operatorname{Hom}(W,W') &\to \Gamma^d(\operatorname{Hom}(V,V') \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(W,W')) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma^d \operatorname{Hom}(V \otimes W, V' \otimes W').\end{aligned}$$

By Day convolution, this in turn yields an internal tensor product on $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$, such that the Yoneda functor is closed strong monoidal. It is given for representable functors $\Gamma^{d,V}$ and $\Gamma^{d,W}$ in $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$ by

$$\Gamma^{d,V} \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \Gamma^{d,W} := \Gamma^{d,V\otimes W}.$$

For arbitrary objects it is given by taking colimits, see [6, Proposition 2.4] for more details.

The tensor unit is given by

$$\mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_k^d} := \Gamma^{d,k} \cong \Gamma^{(d)}.$$

In the same way, $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$ is equipped with an internal hom, defined on representable objects by

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V}, \Gamma^{d,W}) := \Gamma^{d,\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}.$$

The internal hom is indeed an adjoint to the internal tensor product, i.e. we have a natural isomorphism (cf. [6, Proposition 2.4])

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(X \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} Y, Z) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(X, \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma_k^d}(Y,Z)).$$

We will omit the indices and write $-\otimes-$ and $\mathcal{H}om(-,-)$ whenever it is clear which category is considered.

Dualities. The category of strict polynomial functors admits two kinds of dual, one corresponding to the transpose duality for modules over the general linear group and the other one using the internal hom structure of $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$.

The Kuhn dual. For $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$ it is defined by $X^\circ(V) \coloneqq X(V^*)^*$ where $(-)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_k(-,k)$ denotes the usual dual in P_k. Taking the Kuhn dual is a contravariant exact functor, sending projective objects to injective objects and vice versa. Symmetric powers are duals of divided powers, i.e. $(\Gamma^d)^\circ = S^d$ and more generally $(\Gamma^\lambda)^\circ = S^\lambda$. Exterior powers are self-dual, i.e. $(\Lambda^\lambda)^\circ = \Lambda^\lambda$.

The monoidal dual. It is defined for $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$ by $X^\vee \coloneqq \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma^d_k}(X, \Gamma^d)$. This functor is left exact, but in general not right exact.

Lemma 2.1. [6, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8] *For all $X, Y \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$ we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma^d\mathsf{P}_k}(X, Y^\circ) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma^d\mathsf{P}_k}(Y, X^\circ).$$

If X is finitely presented we have natural isomorphisms

$$X \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} Y^\circ \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma^d_k}(X, Y)^\circ$$
$$(X \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} Y)^\circ \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\Gamma^d_k}(X, Y^\circ).$$

We collect some important calculations:

$$X \otimes \Gamma^d \cong X \tag{2.3}$$

$$S^d \otimes S^d \cong S^d \tag{2.4}$$

$$(\Gamma^d)^\vee = \mathcal{H}om(\Gamma^d, \Gamma^d) \cong (\Gamma^d \otimes S^d)^\circ \cong \Gamma^d \tag{2.5}$$

$$\Gamma^\lambda \otimes S^d \cong S^\lambda \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathcal{H}om(S^d, S^\lambda) \cong S^\lambda \tag{2.7}$$

3. Representations of the symmetric group and the Schur functor

Recall that $\mathfrak{S}_d$ is the symmetric group permuting d elements. The representations of $\mathfrak{S}_d$, i.e. (left) modules over its group algebra will be denoted by $k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$. Define $k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{mod}$ to be the subcategory of modules that are finitely generated projective over k.

Partitions. We will denote by $\Lambda(n,d) \coloneqq \{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \mid \sum \lambda_i = d\}$ the set of all compositions of d into n parts. Those compositions that are weakly decreasing, i.e. $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$, are called *partitions* and denoted by $\Lambda^+(n,d)$. The subset of *p-restricted partitions*, i.e. $\lambda \in \Lambda^+(n,d)$ with $\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1} < p$, are denoted by $\Lambda^+_p(n,d)$. A sequence $(i_1 \ldots i_d)$ *belongs to* λ, denoted as $(i_1 \ldots i_d) \in \lambda$, if $(i_1 \ldots i_d)$ has λ_l entries equal to l.

Permutation modules. Fix a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ of k^n and consider the d-fold tensor product $(k^n)^{\otimes d}$. It becomes a left $k\mathfrak{S}_d$-module by defining the module action via

$$\sigma(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \coloneqq v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}.$$

for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ and $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d \in (k^n)^{\otimes d}$. It decomposes into a direct sum of *transitive permutation modules*

$$(k^n)^{\otimes d} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n,d)} M^\lambda, \tag{3.1}$$

where M^λ is the k-span of the set $\{e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d} \mid (i_1 \dots i_d) \in \lambda\}$.

The internal tensor product of representations of symmetric groups. The Hopf algebra structure of the group algebra $k\mathfrak{S}_d$ endows $k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$ with an internal tensor product, the so-called Kronecker product. For $N,\ N' \in k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$ it is given by taking the usual tensor product over k, denoted by $N \otimes_k N'$, together with the following diagonal action of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$:

$$\sigma \cdot (n \otimes n') = \sigma n \otimes \sigma n'.$$

The tensor unit is given by $M^{(d)} \cong k$, the trivial $k\mathfrak{S}_d$-module.

From the antipode which is defined by $S(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, we also get an internal hom, denoted by $\mathcal{H}om_k(N, N')$. It is given by taking k-linear morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_k(N, N')$ together with the following action for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_k(N, N')$ and $n \in N$:

$$\sigma \cdot f(n) = \sigma f(S(\sigma)n) = \sigma f(\sigma^{-1}n).$$

Duality. By setting $N' \coloneqq \mathbb{1}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d} = k$, the trivial $k\mathfrak{S}_d$-module, the internal hom provides a dual $N^* \coloneqq \mathcal{H}om_k(N, k)$. The action becomes

$$\sigma \cdot f(n) = \sigma f(\sigma^{-1}n) = f(\sigma^{-1}n).$$

The Schur functor. The Schur functor was originally defined from representations of the Schur algebra to representation of the symmetric group. Via the equivalence (2.2) this translates to a functor from the category of strict polynomial functors to the representations of the symmetric group.

Let $\omega = (1, \dots, 1) \in \Lambda(d, d)$ be the partition with d entries equal to 1. There is (cf. [7, Section 4]) an algebra isomorphism

$$\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega) \cong k\mathfrak{S}_d^{\mathrm{op}},$$

which identifies the module categories $k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$ and $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega)$. In the following we will write $\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)$ instead of $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega)$.

Definition 3.1. The *Schur functor*, denoted by $\mathcal{F}$, is defined as

$$\mathcal{F} \coloneqq \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, -)\colon\ \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k \to \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega) \cong k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}\,.$$

An equivalence of categories. Let $\Gamma = \{\Gamma^\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,d)}$, $M = \{M^\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,d)}$ and $S = \{S^\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda(n,d)}$. Denote by $\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$ resp. $\mathsf{add}\,S$ the full subcategory of $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$ whose objects are direct summands of finite direct sums of Γ^λ resp. S^λ. Define $\mathsf{add}\,M$ similarly as a subcategory of $k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$. In [1, Lemma 4.3] it is shown that the functor $\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, -)$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$ and $\mathsf{add}\,M$. By taking duals we get that $\mathcal{F}$ also induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathsf{add}\,S$ and $\mathsf{add}\,M$.

Note that $\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$ is the subcategory of consisting of all finitely generated projective objects and $\mathsf{add}\,S$ is the subcategory of finitely generated injective objects.

4. The left adjoint of the Schur functor

In this section we present the connection between the left adjoint of the Schur functor and the monoidal structure of strict polynomial functors. In addition we show that the left adjoint induces an equivalence between some subcategories of $\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$ resp. of $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$.

Let $N \in \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)$ and $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$. By the usual tensor-hom adjunction we get the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(N \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)} \Gamma^\omega, X) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(N, \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, X))\\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(N, \mathcal{F}(X)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F}$ has a left adjoint, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_\otimes \colon \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega) &\to \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d \\ N &\mapsto N \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)} \Gamma^\omega,\end{aligned}$$

in terms of modules for the symmetric group algebra this reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_\otimes \colon k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod} &\to \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d \\ N &\mapsto (-)^{\otimes d} \otimes_{k\mathfrak{S}_d} N.\end{aligned}$$

We will denote the unit by $\eta_\otimes \colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)} \to \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_\otimes$ and the counit by $\varepsilon_\otimes \colon \mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d}$ and omit indices where possible. Note that $\mathcal{G}_\otimes$ is fully faithful, hence the unit $\eta_\otimes$ is an isomorphism, i.e. $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_\otimes(X) \cong X$ for all $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$.

We are now interested in the composition $\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 4.1. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X) \cong X$$

for all $X \in \mathsf{add}\,S$.

Proof. Let $V \in \Gamma^d\mathsf{P}_k$ and $X \in \mathsf{add}\,S$. Using Lemma 2.1, the Yoneda isomorphism (2.1), and the equivalence of $\mathsf{add}\,S$ and $\mathsf{add}\,M$ we get the following sequence of isomorphisms,

$$\begin{aligned}(X)^\circ(V) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V}, (X)^\circ) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(X, (\Gamma^{d,V})^\circ) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}((\Gamma^{d,V})^\circ)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X), (\Gamma^{d,V})^\circ) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\Gamma^{d,V}, (\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X))^\circ) \\ &\cong (\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X))^\circ(V)\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X) \cong X$. □

Corollary 4.2. *The functor* $\mathcal{G}_\otimes$ *restricted to* $\mathsf{add}\,M$ *is an inverse of* $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathsf{add}\,S}$*, i.e. we have the following equivalences of categories*

$$\mathsf{add}\,S \underset{\mathcal{F}}{\overset{\mathcal{G}_\otimes}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{add}\,M$$ □

If we do not restrict to the subcategory $\mathsf{add}\,S$, the composition $\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}$ is not isomorphic to the identity. Though we have the following result:

Theorem 4.3. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X) \cong S^d \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} X.$$

Proof. By [1, Theorem 4.4] the functor $\mathcal{F}$ is monoidal and thus there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Phi_{X,Y} \colon \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k \mathcal{F}(Y) \to \mathcal{F}(X \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} Y).$$

Using this isomorphism and by adjunction we get a sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N, \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N, \mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_\otimes(N)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N, \mathcal{F}(X \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(N))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_k^d}(\mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N), X \otimes_{\Gamma_k^d} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(N)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the identity on $\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N$ yields a map $\vartheta_{X,N}$ which is given by

$$\vartheta_{X,N} \coloneqq (\varepsilon_\otimes) \circ \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\Phi \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes_k \eta_\otimes)) \colon \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathcal{F}(X) \otimes_k N) \to X \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(N).$$

By setting $N \coloneqq \mathbb{1}$, the trivial module, we get a map

$$\vartheta_{X,\mathbb{1}} \colon \mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X) \to X \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1}).$$

We will show that it is an isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(-)$ and $- \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1})$ are right exact functors it is enough to show that $\vartheta_{X,\mathbb{1}}$ is an isomorphism for X projective. Thus, let $X = \Gamma^\lambda$. Since $\mathcal{F}(S^d) \cong \mathbb{1}$, we know by Proposition 4.1 that $\mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1}) \cong S^d$. It follows that $\Gamma^\lambda \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1}) \cong S^\lambda$ by (2.6) and that

$$(\varepsilon_\otimes)_{\Gamma^\lambda \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1})} \colon \mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^\lambda \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1})) \to \Gamma^\lambda \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1})$$

is an isomorphism by Corollary 4.2. Both maps Φ and $\eta_\otimes$ are isomorphisms and thus $\mathcal{G}_\otimes(\Phi \circ (\mathrm{id} \otimes_k \eta_\otimes))$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $\vartheta_{\Gamma^\lambda,\mathbb{1}} \colon \mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^\lambda) \to \Gamma^\lambda \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1})$ is an isomorphism. Identifying $\mathcal{G}_\otimes(\mathbb{1})$ with S^d we get the desired isomorphism

$$\vartheta_{X,\mathbb{1}} \colon \mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} X \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} S^d \cong S^d \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} X. \qquad \square$$

Recall from (2.4) that $S^d \cong S^d \otimes S^d$. Thus, by using the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ preserves the monoidal structure, we get the following

Corollary 4.4. *The functor $G_\otimes$ is compatible with the tensor product, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{G}_\otimes(N \otimes_k N') \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes(N) \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_\otimes(N'). \qquad \square$$

However, note that the tensor unit $\mathbb{1}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}$ is mapped under $\mathcal{G}_\otimes$ to S^d which is not the tensor unit in $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$. Using Lemma 2.1, we get the following description of the Schur functor composed with its left adjoint:

Corollary 4.5. *We can express the endofunctor $\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}$ by duals, namely*

$$\mathcal{G}_\otimes\mathcal{F}(X) \cong S^d \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} X \cong \mathcal{H}om(X, \Gamma^d)^\circ = (X^\vee)^\circ \qquad \square$$

5. The right adjoint of the Schur functor

This section provides analogous results to those in the preceeding section, now for the right adjoint of the Schur functor. In particular, we will see how the right adjoint can be expressed in terms of the monoidal structure of strict polynomial functors.

Let $V \in \Gamma^d\mathsf{P}_k$, $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$ and $N \in \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)$. We write $\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})$ for $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V})$ and consider $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X)$ as a right $\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})$-module and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,V})$ as an $\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})$-$\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)$-bimodule. By the usual tensor-hom adjunction we then get the following isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X), \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,V}), N))\\ &\quad\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X) \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,V}), N).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, \Gamma^\omega)$ is finitely generated projective over $\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})}(\Gamma^\omega(V), X(V)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, \Gamma^\omega), \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X))\\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X) \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,V})\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X), \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,V}), N))\\ &\quad\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V})}(\Gamma^\omega(V), X(V)), N).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^{d,V}) \cong \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$ for $V \coloneqq k^n$ with $n \geq d$ by (2.2) and $X \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,-}, X)$ this isomorphism finally becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(X, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,-}), N)) \\ \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, X), N).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, -)$ has a right adjoint, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}} \colon \mathsf{Mod}\,\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega) &\to \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k \\ N &\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^\omega, \Gamma^{d,-}), N)\end{aligned}$$

in terms of modules for the symmetric group algebra this reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}} \colon k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod} &\to \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k \\ N &\mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}((-)^{\otimes d}, k\mathfrak{S}_d), N).\end{aligned}$$

We will denote the unit by $\eta_{\mathrm{Hom}} \colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{End}(\Gamma^\omega)} \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}$ and the counit by $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Hom}} \colon \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}} \to \mathrm{id}_{\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k}$. Note that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ is fully faithful, hence the counit $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ is an isomorphism, i.e. $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(X) \cong X$ for all $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$.

Again, we are interested in the composition $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}$. We have the following result, dual to Proposition 4.1:

Proposition 5.1. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X) \cong X$$

for all $X \in \mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $V \in \Gamma^d\mathsf{P}_k$ and $X \in \mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$. Due to the the Yoneda isomorphism (2.1) and the equivalence of $\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$ and $\mathsf{add}\,M$ we have the following sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}X(V) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, X) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{d,V}), \mathcal{F}(X)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\Gamma^{d,V}, \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X)) \\ &\cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X)(V)\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X) \cong X$. □

Corollary 5.2. *The functor* $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ *restricted to* $\mathsf{add}\,M$ *is an inverse of* $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma}$*, i.e. we have the following equivalences of categories*

$$\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma \underset{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}}{\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{add}\,M$$ □

Remark 5.3. Suppose that k is a field of characteristic ≥ 5. In [5, Theorem 3.8.1.] it is shown that on $\mathrm{Filt}(\Delta)$, the full subcategory of Weyl filtered modules, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ is an inverse to $\mathcal{F}$. The subcategory $\mathrm{Filt}(\Delta)$ contains the subcategory $\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$, so in the case of a field of characteristic ≥ 5, Corollary 5.2 follows also from [5]. But note that Corollary 5.2 is independent of any assumption on the commutative ring k.

If we do not restrict to the subcategory $\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma$, the composition $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}$ is not isomorphic to the identity. Though we have the following result, dual to Theorem 4.3:

Theorem 5.4. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X) \cong \mathcal{H}om(S^d, X).$$

Proof. By using the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is monoidal ([1, Theorem 4.4]) and some additional calculations, one can show that $\mathcal{F}$ is also a closed functor, i.e. there is a natural isomorphism

$$\Psi_{X,Y}\colon \mathcal{H}om_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(X),\mathcal{F}(Y)) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma^d_k}(X,Y)).$$

Using this isomorphism and by adjunction we get a sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}(X^\circ),N),\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}(X^\circ),N))\\
\cong&\,\mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}(X^\circ),N),\mathcal{H}om(N^*,\mathcal{F}(X^\circ)^*))\\
\cong&\,\mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}(X^\circ),\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N)),\mathcal{H}om(N^*,\mathcal{F}(X)))\\
\cong&\,\mathrm{Hom}_{k\mathfrak{S}_d}(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}om(X^\circ,\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N))),\mathcal{H}om(N^*,\mathcal{F}(X)))\\
\cong&\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\mathcal{H}om(X^\circ,\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N)),\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}om(N^*,\mathcal{F}(X))))\\
\cong&\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma^d_k}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N)^\circ,X),\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}om(N^*,\mathcal{F}(X)))).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the identity on $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}(X^\circ),N)$ yields a map

$$\kappa_{N,X}\colon \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N)^\circ,X) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}om(N^*,\mathcal{F}(X^\circ))).$$

By setting $N \coloneqq \mathbb{1}$, the trivial module, we get a map

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{1},X}\colon \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1})^\circ,X) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{1},\mathcal{F}(X))).$$

Similarly to the case of $\mathcal{G}_\otimes$ this is an isomorphism. This time, we use the fact that since $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1}),-)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{1},\mathcal{F}(-)))$ are left exact functors it is enough to show that $\kappa_{\mathbb{1},X}$ is an isomorphism for $X = S^\lambda$ injective. But $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^d) \cong \mathbb{1}$, thus we know by Proposition 5.1 that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \Gamma^d$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1})^\circ,S^\lambda) \cong \Gamma^\lambda$$

by (2.7) and hence

$$(\eta_{\mathrm{Hom}})_{\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1})^\circ,S^\lambda)}\colon \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1})^\circ,S^\lambda) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1})^\circ,S^\lambda))$$

is an isomorphism by Corollary 5.2. Similarly as before $\kappa_{\mathbb{1},X}$ is the composition of this isomorphism and further isomorphisms, hence is itself and isomorphism. Identifying $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1})^\circ$ with S^d and $\mathcal{H}om(\mathbb{1},\mathcal{F}(X))$ with $\mathcal{F}(X)$ we finally get the desired isomorphism

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{1},X}\colon \mathcal{H}om(S^d,X) \to \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X). \qquad \square$$

Corollary 5.5. *The functor $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ preserves the internal hom up to duality, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H}om(X^\circ,Y)) \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X)^\circ,\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(Y))$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\,\mathcal{H}om(N^*,N') \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N)^\circ,\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N')). \qquad \square$$

Remark 5.6. In general, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}$ does not preserve the internal tensor product, e.g. defining sign to be the sign-representation in $k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$ we get $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{sign}) \cong \Lambda^d$ if 2 is invertible in k, but $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \Gamma^d$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{sign}\otimes_k\mathrm{sign}) = \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \Gamma^d \neq S^d \cong \Lambda^d \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \Lambda^d\\
\cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{sign}) \otimes_{\Gamma^d_k} \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{sign}).
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let $X \in \mathsf{rep}\,\Gamma^d_k$, i.e. $X^{\circ\circ} \cong X$. We can express the endofunctor $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}$ by duals, namely*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}\mathcal{F}(X) \cong \mathcal{H}om(S^d,X) \cong \mathcal{H}om(X^\circ,\Gamma^d) = (X^\circ)^\vee. \qquad \square$$

6. Comparing both adjoints

The results in the previous two sections allow us to relate the left and the right adjoint. In the case of k a field of characteristic p, this has already been done in a more general setting by N. Kuhn in [8, Theorem 6.10, Lemma 6.11].

In our setting, k is still an (arbitrary) commutative ring and we obtain

Proposition 6.1. *The left and the right adjoints of the Schur functor are related by taking duals, namely*

$$(\mathcal{G}_{\otimes} \circ \mathcal{F}(X))^{\circ} \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}} \circ \mathcal{F}(X^{\circ})$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}(N)^{\circ} \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}(N^{*})$$

for all $X \in \mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$ *and* $N \in k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{Mod}$.

Proof. Using Theorem 5.4 and Theorem 4.3 we get

$$(\mathcal{G}_{\otimes} \circ \mathcal{F}(X))^{\circ} \cong (S^d \otimes X)^{\circ} \cong \mathcal{H}om(S^d, X^{\circ}) \cong \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}} \circ \mathcal{F}(X^{\circ}).$$

By setting $N \coloneqq \mathcal{F}(X)$ and using the fact that $\mathcal{F}(X^{\circ}) \cong \mathcal{F}(X)^{*}$ we get the second isomorphism. □

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{rep}\,\Gamma_k^d & \underset{\mathcal{F}}{\overset{\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}}{\rightleftarrows}} & k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{mod} \\ {\scriptstyle (-)^{\circ}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{*}} \\ (\mathsf{rep}\,\Gamma_k^d)^{\mathrm{op}} & \underset{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}}{\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\rightleftarrows}} & (k\mathfrak{S}_d\,\mathsf{mod})^{\mathrm{op}} \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are equivalences of categories. The horizontal arrows become equivalences when restricted to the following subcategories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{add}\,S & \underset{\mathcal{F}}{\overset{\mathcal{G}_{\otimes}}{\rightleftarrows}} & \mathsf{add}\,M \\ {\scriptstyle (-)^{\circ}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (-)^{*}} \\ (\mathsf{add}\,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{op}} & \underset{\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{Hom}}}{\overset{\mathcal{F}}{\rightleftarrows}} & (\mathsf{add}\,M)^{\mathrm{op}} \end{array}$$

7. The tensor product of simple functors

If k is a field, the isomorphism classes of simple functors in $\mathsf{Rep}\,\Gamma_k^d$ are indexed by partitions $\lambda \in \Lambda^{+}(n,d)$. Simple functors are self-dual, i.e. $L_{\lambda}^{\circ} \cong L_{\lambda}$, see e.g. [7, Proposition 4.11].

In [8, Theorem 7.11] a generalized Steinberg Tensor Product Theorem is proved that states that simple functors are given by the external tensor product of twisted simple functors. In our setting, this has been formulated also by Touzé:

Theorem 7.1 ([10, Theorem 4.8])**.** *Let k be a field of charachteristic p. Let $\lambda^0, \ldots, \lambda^r$ be p-restricted partitions, and let $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{r} p^i \lambda^i$. There is an isomorphism:*

$$L_{\lambda} \cong L_{\lambda^0} \boxtimes L_{\lambda^1}^{(1)} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes L_{\lambda^r}^{(r)},$$

where $(-)^{(i)}$ denotes the i-th Frobenius twist.

Using this decomposition, Touzé shows that for calculating the internal tensor product of two simple functors, it is enough to consider p-restricted partitions. Namely one has for $\lambda = \sum_{i=0}^{r} p^i \lambda^i$ and $\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{s} p^i \mu^i$

$$L_\lambda \otimes L_\mu \cong \begin{cases} (L_{\lambda^0} \otimes L_{\mu^0}) \boxtimes (L_{\lambda^1} \otimes L_{\mu^1})^{(1)} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes (L_{\lambda^r} \otimes L_{\mu^r})^{(r)}, & r = s, |\lambda^i| = |\mu^i| \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(see [10, Theorem 6.2]). Unfortunately, the tensor product of two simple functors is almost never simple, as we will see in the next theorem.

Throughout this section, let p be the characteristic of k.

Mullineux map and truncated symmetric powers. Denote by m the Mullineux map $m\colon \Lambda_p^+(n,d) \to \Lambda_p^+(n,d)$ that relates simple $k\mathfrak{S}_d$-modules (see e.g. [9, Chapter 4.2]). Define Q^d to be the truncated symmetric powers, i.e. the top of S^d. We have the following connection between tensor products of simple functors and the Schur functor and its left adjoint:

Lemma 7.2. *Let μ be a p-restricted partition, i.e. $\mu \in \Lambda_p^+(n,d)$. Then*

$$Q^d \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu), \quad \Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_{m(\mu)})$$

Proof. From [10, Corollary 6.9, 6.10] we know that

$$Q^d \otimes L_\mu \cong Q^d \otimes \Lambda^d \otimes \Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong \Lambda^d \otimes \Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong S^d \otimes L_\mu.$$

By Theorem 4.3 this is the same as applying the Schur functor and its left adjoint to L_μ.

Again by [10, Corollary 6.9] we have $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong Q^d \otimes L_{m(\mu)}$ and thus $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_{m(\mu)})$ □

The following lemma shows in which cases the right adjoint of the Schur functor sends simple modules to simple functors. I am very grateful to Karin Erdmann who pointed out the connection between the occurence of composition factors in quotients of projective covers and Ext-vanishing of simple functors.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $\mu \in \Lambda_p^+(n,d)$. Then $\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \cong L_\mu$ if and only if all ν with $\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu) \neq 0$ are p-restricted.*

Proof. We use [3, 3.2 Corollary] that states that $\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu)$ is the largest quotient of the projective cover P_μ of $L_{m(\mu)}$ whose radical has only non p-restricted composition factors. This is simple if and only if the top of $\operatorname{rad} P_\mu$ has only p-restricted composition factors.

If we apply $\operatorname{Hom}(-, L_\nu)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{rad} P_\mu \to P_\mu \to L_\mu \to 0,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(L_\mu, L_\nu) \to \operatorname{Hom}(P_\mu, L_\nu) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{rad} P_\mu, L_\nu) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(P_\mu, L_\nu) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}(L_\mu, L_\nu) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(P_\mu, L_\nu)$, we obtain $\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{rad} P_\mu, L_\nu) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu)$. That means, L_ν is a composition factor of the top of $\operatorname{rad} P_\mu$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu) \neq 0$. So, we get

$$\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \text{ is simple } \Leftrightarrow \text{ all } \nu \text{ with } \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu) \neq 0 \text{ are } p\text{-restricted.}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \cong \mathcal{F}(L_\mu)$ we know that if $\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu)$ is simple, it must be isomorphic to L_μ. □

Finally, we get the following characterization of tensor products of simple functors corresponding to p-restricted partitions that are again simple.

Theorem 7.4. *Let k be a field of odd characteristic and $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_p^+(n,d)$. The tensor product $L_\lambda \otimes L_\mu$ is simple if and only if, up to interchanging λ and μ,*

- *$L_\lambda \cong \Lambda^d$ and all ν with $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{m(\mu)}, L_\nu) \neq 0$ are p-restricted, or*
- *$L_\lambda \cong Q^d$ and all ν with $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu) \neq 0$ are p-restricted.*

In these cases $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong L_{m(\mu)}$ and $Q^d \otimes L_\mu \cong L_\mu$.

Proof. First note that if $\dim \mathcal{F}(L_\lambda) \geq 2$ and $\dim \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \geq 2$, then $L_\lambda \otimes L_\mu$ is not simple (see [10, Corollary 6.6]). This follows from the fact that for simple $k\mathfrak{S}_d$-modules of dimension ≥ 2 the Kronecker product is never simple ([2, Main Theorem]). There are only two $k\mathfrak{S}_d$-modules with dimension 1, namely, by setting $\omega = (1, \ldots, 1)$, $L(\omega) = \mathrm{sign}$, and $M^{(d)} = k$. Now, $\mathcal{F}(L_\omega) = \mathrm{sign}$ and $\mathcal{F}(Q^d) = k$. Thus, the only cases where the tensor product might be simple are $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu$ and $Q^d \otimes L_\mu$.

Consider first the case $Q^d \otimes L_\mu$. By Lemma 7.2, this is the same as $\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu)$ and by Lemma 7.3 it is simple if and only if the top of $\mathrm{rad}\, P_\mu$ has only p-restricted composition factors.

For $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu$ use Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.3 to obtain that $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_{m(\mu)})$ is simple if and only if all ν with $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_{m(\mu)}, L_\nu) \neq 0$ are p-restricted. □

It is not known in general when $\mathrm{Ext}^1(L_\mu, L_\nu) \neq 0$ for partitions $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda(n,d)$, so the question of when the internal tensor product of two simple functors is again simple is not yet answered completely. Also the computation of the Mullineux map m is not easy in general.

Corollary 7.5. *If μ is a p-core, then $Q^d \otimes L_\mu \cong L_\mu$ and $\Lambda^d \otimes L_{m(\mu)} \cong L_\mu$.*

Proof. If μ is a p-core, then it is the only simple in its block, i.e. $P_\mu = L_\mu$ and thus by [3, 3.2 Corollary] $\Lambda^d \otimes L_{m(\mu)} \cong Q^d \otimes L_\mu \cong L_\mu$. □

7.1. **Special case.** In the case $n = d$ we make use of the following result to obtain some partitions μ such that the tensor product $\Lambda^d \otimes L_\mu$ resp. $Q^d \otimes L_\mu$ is simple:

Proposition 7.6. [3, 5.6 Proposition] *Let $n = d$ and $p > 2$. Assume μ is p-restricted such that all λ with $m(\mu') \geq \lambda$ are p-restricted. Then*

$$\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \cong L_\mu$$

In particular, every partition λ such that all smaller partitions are p-restricted, provides a partition $\mu = (m(\lambda))'$ such that $\mathcal{G}_\otimes \circ \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) = L_\mu$. Starting with the partition $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and going through the elements of $\Lambda_p^+(n,d)$ in the lexicographic order, the first partitions are always all p-restricted. The smallest not p-restricted partition ν is $\nu := (p+1, 1 \ldots, 1)$ if $d \geq p+1$ and $\nu := (d)$ otherwise. Thus, for every $\mu = (m(\lambda))'$ with $\lambda < \nu$ we get $Q^d \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \cong L_\mu$.

Unfortunately, the Proposition only provides a sufficient condition, so one does not know what happens for partitions λ such that $\lambda > \nu$.

The case $n = p = d$. We can provide a full answer if we suppose in addition that $n = p$. I am very thankful to Karin Erdmann for her advice regarding the Mullineux map in this case as well as pointing out several composition series used in the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 7.7. *Let k be a field of characteristic p and $n = p = d > 2$. The tensor product $L_\lambda \otimes L_\mu$ is simple if and only if, up to interchanging λ and μ,*

- *$\lambda = (1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mu \neq (3, 1^{p-3})$, or*
- *$\lambda = (p-1, 1)$ and $\mu \neq (p-1, 1)$.*

In these cases $L_{(1,\ldots,1)} \otimes L_\mu \cong L_{m(\mu)}$ and $L_{(p-1,1)} \otimes L_\mu \cong L_\mu$.

Proof. We always have $\Lambda^d = L_{(1,\dots,1)}$ and, if $n = d = p$, the truncated symmetric powers Q^d is the simple module indexed by the partition $(p-1,1)$, i.e. $Q^d = L_{(p-1,1)}$. Thus, by Theorem 7.4, all tensor products where $\lambda \neq (1,\dots,1)$ and $\lambda \neq (p-1,1)$ are not simple. It remains to check the cases where $\lambda = (1,\dots,1)$ or $\lambda = (p-1,1)$.

Now all partitions μ not of the form $(p-k,1^k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq p$ are p-cores, so in these cases by Corollary 7.5

$$L_{(p-1,1)} \otimes L_\mu \cong L_\mu \quad \text{and} \quad L_{(1,\dots,1)} \otimes L_\mu \cong L_{m(\mu)}.$$

Suppose now $\mu = (p-k,1^k)$. There is only one not p-restricted partition, namely the partition (p). We have $m((2,1^{p-2})) = (p)$, thus all but the partition $\mu = (p-1,1)$ fulfill the condition of Proposition 7.6 and we get

$$L_{(p-1,1)} \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_\mu) \cong L_\mu$$

for all $\mu = (p-k,1^k)$ with $1 < k \leq p$. Since $m((p-1,1)) = (3,1^{p-3})$ we also get

$$L_{(1,\dots,1)} \otimes L_\mu \cong \mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_{m(\mu)}) \cong L_{m(\mu)}$$

for all $\mu = (p-k,1^k)$ with $1 \leq k < 3$ or $3 < k \leq p$.

It remains the last cases $\mu = (p-1,1)$ resp. $\mu = (3,1^{p-3})$. We know that

$$S^{(p)} = \begin{array}{c} L_{(p-1,1)} \\ L_{(p)} \end{array}$$

so that $S^{(p)}/\operatorname{rad}(S^{(p)}) = L_{(p-1,1)}$ and hence there exists a surjection $P_{(p-1,1)} \twoheadrightarrow S^{(p)}$. But then $L_{(p)}$ is in the top of $\operatorname{rad}(P_{(p-1,1)})$ and thus $\mathcal{G}_\otimes \mathcal{F}(L_{(p-1,1)}) \cong L_{(p-1,1)} \otimes L_{(p-1,1)}$ is not simple. Since $m((p-1,1)) = (3,1^{p-3})$, we also get $L_{(1,\dots,1)} \otimes L_{(3,1^{p-3})} \cong L_{(p-1,1)} \otimes L_{(p-1,1)}$ is not simple. □

References

[1] C. Aquilino, R. Reischuk, The monoidal structure on strict polynomial functors, arXiv:1503.05108 (2015).

[2] C. Bessenrodt, A. Kleshchev, On tensor products of modular representations of symmetric groups, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **32** (2000), 292–296.

[3] S. R. Doty, K. Erdmann, D. K. Nakano, Extensions of Modules over Schur Algebras, Symmetric Groups and Hecke Algebras, Algebr. Represent. Theory, **7**(1) (2004), 67–100.

[4] E. M. Friedlander and A. Suslin, Cohomology of finite group schemes over a field, Invent. Math. **127**(2) (1997), 209–270.

[5] David J. Hemmer and Daniel K. Nakano. Specht filtrations for Hecke algebras of type A. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, **69**(6) 623–638, (2004).

[6] H. Krause, Koszul, Ringel and Serre duality for strict polynomial functors, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 6, 996–1018.

[7] H. Krause, The highest weight structure for strict polynomial functors, arXiv:1405.1691 (2015).

[8] N. Kuhn. A stratification of generic representation theory and generalized Schur algebras, K-Theory, **26**(1) (2002), 15–49.

[9] S. Martin, Schur algebras and representation theory, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press **112** (2008).

[10] A. Touzé, Connectedness of cup products for polynomial representations of GL_n and applications, arXiv:1508.06049 (2015).

Rebecca Reischuk, Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany.

E-mail address: rreischuk@math.uni-bielefeld.de